1. (45 points) Prove a lower bound for the following three problems.

(a) (15 points) You are given an array `votes` of size 4 with each element holding either a 1 or a 2 (which indicates the candidate for which a vote was cast). The goal is to determine if there is a candidate who received 3 or more votes. The output should either be the empty set if no candidate received at least 3 votes, or otherwise a set holding the indices in `votes` for a candidate with 3 or more votes. Consider a model of computation in which the algorithm can only access `votes` by asking if `v[i] == v[j]` for any `i, j`. You are to give the best lower bound you can on the number of comparisons.

(b) (15 points) You are given `n` coins identical in appearance; either all are genuine or exactly one is fake. It is unknown whether the fake coin is heavier or lighter than the genuine ones. Your model of computation to solve this problem is as follows. You can only learn about the coins through a provided `weigh(set1, set2)` method that takes as input two sets of coins `set1` and `set2` and returns one of the three possibilities: the two sets of coins have the same weight, `set1` is heavier, or that `set2` is heavier.

The problem is to determine if any coin is fake, and if so which coin is fake and whether it is heavier or lighter than the genuine ones.

(c) (15 points) Prove a lower bound for the problem of computing which elements in a sorted array `A` are less than a given element `x` under the comparison-based model in which information about the relationship between `x` and the elements of `A` can only be learned by asking if `A[i] < x` for any `i ∈ {0, 1, . . . , n − 1}`.

2. (5 points) Consider the following list of 3-letter initials

DZB
JZA
JCM
JAB
CWJ
CZM

Illustrate the execution of radix sort on the above list of initials by by showing the list after each of the three phases of counting sort has completed.

3. (10 pts) Suppose you are given the task to sort 10000 numbers between 0 and 10^{12} − 1 (i.e. the keys are 12 digit numbers). You have decided to use radix sort but need to decide how many digits to group for each radix sort digit. Which is best among having 1 digit per radix sort digit, 3 digits per radix sort digit, 6 digits per radix sort digit,
or directly using counting sort (i.e. 12 digits per radix sort digit)? You are provided
with a counting sort procedure with exact time complexity of $13n + 9k + 4$. Show your
work.

4. (30 pts) Let $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ be $r$ unsorted lists, whose elements hold integers in the range
$[0, k - 1]$. Let $n$ be the total number of elements among all of the lists. That is, if $n_i$ is
the number of elements in $L_i$, then $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r$. Describe an algorithm with
total worst-case asymptotic time complexity of $O(r + k + n)$ for getting all of the $r$ lists
into sorted order. So your final output will be $r$ sorted lists (containing $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_r$
in sorted order). Be sure to analyze the time complexity of your algorithm.

If you cannot think of an algorithm with the specified time complexity, then for partial
credit describe the most efficient algorithm you can and correctly analyze its time
complexity.

Extra Credit Problems:

5* (5 points) Consider the problem of sorting an unsorted array $A$ of $n$ elements
which take on only two different values (e.g. $A = [37, 60, 37, 37, 60, 60, 60, 60]$).
Note that the two values are not known to the algorithm.

a) (2 points) Briefly but clearly describe an $O(n)$ comparison-based algorithm
for solving this problem.

b) (3 points) Very specifically explain what portion(s) of the comparison sorting
lower bound proof does not hold for this problem.