

Practice Problems for Homework 3

1. Use the adversary lower bound technique to prove a lower bound on the time complexity of the problem of searching if x is in a sorted array A (of n elements) when using a comparison-based algorithm in which the algorithm can compare x with $A[i]$ for any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$, and will be told either that $x < A[i]$, $x = A[i]$, or $x > A[i]$. The output from this algorithm should either be an index i into A for which $A[i] = x$, or an indication that x is not in the array.
2. Use the adversary lower bound technique to prove a lower bound on the time complexity of a comparison-based algorithm for the following problem: You are given a sorted array A (of n elements) and two elements x and y where $x \leq y$. The algorithm is required to compute how many elements in A are less than both x and y , how many elements of A are between x and y (inclusive), and how many elements of A are bigger than both x and y . Note that x and y are not necessarily in A .
3. Suppose you are given the task to sort one thousand 32-bit keys. You have decided to use radix sort for this problem and want to decide how many bits each radix sort digit. Which is best among having 1 bit per radix sort digit, 4 bits per radix sort digit, 8 bits per radix sort digit or 16 bits per radix sort digit? You are provided with a counting sort procedure with exact time complexity of $5n + 4k$. Show your work.
4. Give the asymptotically fastest algorithm you can to sort n integers in the range of 0 to $(n^4) - 1$. You should give a very clear and complete high-level description of your algorithm. Be sure to analyze the time complexity of your algorithm as a function of n . You are NOT restricted to use a comparison sorting algorithm (although are welcome to if you want).